

**SAGINAW CHARTER TOWNSHIP BOARD OF APPEALS**  
**SAGINAW CHARTER TOWNSHIP HALL**  
**MAY 9, 2007**  
**7:00 P.M.**

**Members Present**

A. Brady  
P. Brucker  
M. Faerber  
S. Gerhardt  
J. Dambro

**Members Absent**

**Others Present**

B. Smith, Planner  
M. Mahlberg, Attorney  
C. Edlinger  
Recording Secretary

Mr. Brucker called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

**Minutes:**

Motion by Mr. Faerber, supported by Mr. Brady, to approve the minutes of April 11, 2007.

VOTE:        5 Yeas        0 Nays        0 Absent        Motion Carried

**New Business:**

- A. **A-2007-04** – Saginaw Charter Township is requesting that the Board of Appeals render an interpretation as to whether a pharmacy is implied as a use by right within a B-1, Office Business commercial district and further, whether or not it would be able to be processed as a special use if it includes a drive-thru.

Ms. Smith stated Community Development had received a request from Medicap Pharmacies requesting an interpretation as to whether or not a “pharmacy” fits into the definition of a B-1, Office Business commercial district as a use by right.

Mr. Brucker than asked for public comments regarding this proposed interpretation.

There being no public comments, the public hearing portion of this proposed interpretation was closed.

Discussion followed among the Board of Appeals members.

**SAGINAW CHARTER TOWNSHIP  
SAGINAW CHARTER TOWNSHIP HALL  
MAY 9, 2007  
PAGE 2 of 2**

Motion by Mr. Brucker, supported by Mr. Gerhardt, to interpret that a pharmacy is NOT a permitted use by right or implied by right to operate in a B-1, Office Business Commercial district.

VOTE:            5 Yeas            0 Nays            0 Absent            Motion Carried

**Receive and File All Correspondence:**

1.     A copy of the April 18 and May 2, 2007 minutes from the Planning Commission.
2.     A copy of the March and April 2007 issues of Planning & Zoning News.

**Other New Business:**

- A.     The Board of Appeals members reviewed and discussed the Sawmill Identification sign, the July 10, 1996 minutes and report A-96-11. After reviewing said documentation, the Board of Appeals members reached a consensus that any deviation from the approved variances granted in the July 10, 1996 as specifically detailed in the minutes from that same meeting would require a new variance.

**Adjournment:**

Motion by Mr. Brady, supported by Mr. Faerber, to adjourn the meeting at 7:43 p.m.

VOTE:            5 Yeas            0 Nays            0 Absent            Motion Carried